

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

<b>LONTEX CORPORATION,</b>  v.  <b>NIKE, INC.</b>	<b>CIVIL ACTION</b>  <b>NO. 18-5623</b>
---	---

**ORDER**

Plaintiff, by letter docketed at ECF 153, seeks this Court's assistance with third party subpoenas served in other districts. Although letters are generally not allowed to argue non-scheduling matters, the Court will consider this in view of the current health crisis.

Plaintiff's discovery in these other districts appears to seek documents broader than the specification which this Court recently ruled was relevant, that is, the term "cool compression"—those two words next to each other, without any other words.

Within seven [7] days, Plaintiff shall file a memorandum why this ruling should not apply, and/or serve more limited subpoenas in the other districts, or in this district if permissible.

If necessary, discovery extensions will be granted when the health crisis is over, to allow this discovery as it appears appropriate if limited as above, and seeks documents in the possession of third parties, even if the same documents were already produced by Nike.

**BY THE COURT:**

s/ Michael M. Baylson

**Dated: March 31, 2020**

**MICHAEL M. BAYLSON, U.S.D.J.**